

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
•	08/472,840	3 05/07/	95 MEHI HODN		<u> </u>	028723	-063
		_ 15M1/1213			KISHORIEXAMINER		
	R DANNY HI BURNS DOA'I P O BOX 14	NE SWECKER	& MATHIS		ART UNI	T PAPER	NUMBER
	ALEXANDRIA	A VA 2231	3–1404		DATE MAILED:		7
						12/13	1/95

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

**Commissioner of Patents** 

Office Action Summary

41)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/472,843

Mehlhorn

Examine

Gollamudi S. Kishore

Group Art Unit 1502

	Gonamudi C. Kishore	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	,
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		on as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	d for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims		
	is/a	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
Claim(s)		
☐ Claims		
<ul> <li>See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pilot Indiana. It is a specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign printsperson. In a claim for domestic process.</li> <li>★ Certified copies not received:</li> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic process.</li> </ul>	objected to by the Examiner.  is approved cer.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)- sies of the priority documents had Number)  the International Bureau (PCT In the Inte	(d). ve been  Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial Number: 08/472843

Art Unit: 1502

ů.

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

### Double Patenting

1. 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

"Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title".

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending application Serial No. 08/476355. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending application Serial No. 08/474382. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as to what applicant intends to convey by 'lipid like' in claim 1.

Serial Number: 08/472843

Art Unit: 1502

ى .

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Cramer of record or Kano or Deamer.

The references of Cramer, Kano, and Deamer teach the instant method of loading substances using a pH gradient (note the abstracts).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C.  $\S$  103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Serial Number: 08/472843

Art Unit: 1502

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being 6. unpatentable over Cramer or Kano or Deamer.

It would appear from the references of Cramer, Kano and Deamer that the concentration of the substance to be loaded in the interior is higher than the outside medium. Assuming that it is not, it is deemed obvious to an artisan that the vesicles of the prior art would have higher concentrations since the method of loading is the same.

The examiner requests the submission of the publications and foreign applications listed on PTOL-1449.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. S. Kishore whose telephone number is (703) 308-2440.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.K.Page, can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Gollamudi S. Kishere, Phili

Primary Examiner

45 Kishon

**Group 1500** 

December 12, 1995